

Modified List #1: Only altering plank 4

The United States federal government should make its nuclear posture substantially more compliant with its nuclear disarmament commitments in one or more of the following ways:

- Ratifying and implementing one or more extant bilateral or multilateral agreement(s) on nuclear disarmament
- Implementing a declaratory policy substantially restricting the use of nuclear weapons
- Implementing substantial reductions in its deployed nuclear forces
- Negotiate and implement a fissile material cut-off treaty and/or a bilateral agreement with Russia reducing deployed nuclear forces

Modified List #2: Altering planks 1 and 4

The United States federal government should make its nuclear posture substantially more compliant with its nuclear disarmament commitments in one or more of the following ways:

- Ratify and implement the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty
- Negotiate and implement a fissile material cut-off treaty
- Negotiate and implement a bilateral agreement with Russia reducing deployed nuclear forces
- Implement substantial reductions in its deployed nuclear forces
- Implement a declaratory policy substantially restricting the use of nuclear weapons

Modified List #3: Altering planks 1 and 4, and altered declaratory policy

The United States federal government should make its nuclear posture substantially more compliant with its nuclear disarmament commitments in one or more of the following ways:

- Ratify and implement the Comprehensive Nuclear Test Ban Treaty
- Negotiate and implement a fissile material cut-off treaty
- Negotiate and implement a bilateral agreement with Russia reducing deployed nuclear forces
- Implement substantial reductions in its deployed nuclear forces
- Adopting/announcing a universal nuclear no first use pledge

Questions:

- Should reductions be limited to deployed weapons?
- Do we want to allow changes in all declaratory policies?